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Programme Statement

The MPhil in Architecture and Urban Design (Projective Cities) is a 20-month, interdisciplinary research and design programme that examines multi-scalar questions arising at the intersection of architecture, urban design and planning. The programme is dedicated to a systematic analysis of, design experimentation for, theoretical speculation on, and critical writing about the contemporary city. Student projects combine new design and traditional forms of research, while challenging existing disciplinary boundaries and contributing to emerging spatial design practice and knowledge. The programme recognises hereby the need for a new practice-led research training, as architectural and urban design practice is increasingly research-led, demanding from graduates a new multidisciplinary knowledge.

Projective Cities proposes architectural design as a precondition to the conception, realisation, and subversion of urban plans.

Projective Cities recognises architecture and the city as a collective form of knowledge shaped by cultural, social, political, and economic contexts.

Projective Cities has been highly successful in preparing its graduates for diverse careers in academia and practice, with graduate destinations including PhD programmes, academic or research careers and joining leading design offices. Projective Cities is a critical forum to engage with questions of governance and development in the context of global challenges of urbanisation. Its objective is to respond to current urban, environmental and social crises by rethinking the agency of spatial design and development within specific political, economic, social and cultural contexts.

Projective Cities prepares its candidates for independent research through a framework of rigorous design and research methodologies. The first year of the programme is taught, introducing students to research methods, academic writing, architectural and urban histories and theories, advanced analytical techniques and computational design in preparation for a substantial dissertation project. At the end of the first year, students submit a research proposal. This is developed in the second year, leading to an integrated design and written dissertation.

Projective Cities seeks candidates with a desire to develop substantial and original research. It seeks exceptional thinkers, gifted designers and critical writers with an interest in the future of our cities.
Staff

Raül Avilla-Royo is an architect and researcher. He studied architecture in Barcelona School of Architecture (ETSAB-UPC) and in the Accademia di Architettura di Mendrisio (AAM-USI), after which he pursued a Taught MPhil at the Architectural Association (AA). He is currently a PhD candidate at the Royal College of Art in London. Apart from running his own practice, Raül is also a member of the collective Arquitectos de Cabecera in Barcelona.

Doreen Bernath is an architect and a theorist across disciplines of design, technology, philosophy, visual art, media and cultures. Trained at Cambridge and the AA, she won an RIBA scholarship and was a finalist in 2011 for the RIBA President’s Award for Outstanding Thesis (PhD). She is a co-editor of RIBA’s The Journal of architecture and is the founding director of SpaceMedia Int and DEZACT.

Mark Campbell is an architect and academic. He completed his PhD and MA as a Fulbright Scholar at Princeton University and BArch (Hons) and BA at Auckland University, New Zealand. Since 2005 he has taught at the Architectural Association and is a Senior Tutor at the Royal College of Art and a Visiting Professor of Architecture at Southeast University, Nanjing. He has previously taught at Cambridge University, the Cooper Union, Princeton University and the University of Auckland University and is an editor of the Journal of Architecture (RIBA / Routledge) and former managing editor of Grey Room (MIT Press).

Cristina Gamboa is a chartered architect and teacher. She studied at the Barcelona School of Architecture ETSAB / UPC, and the Faculty of Architecture and Urban Planning / University of Stuttgart. Cristina is co-founder of Lacol, a cooperative of architects established in 2014 in Barcelona, where she has focused on researching participative approaches to design and developing cooperative housing and housing policies, tested in on-going projects.

Platon Issaias is an architect, researcher and teacher. He studied architecture in Thessaloniki, Greece, holds an MSc from Columbia University and a PhD from TU Delft. Apart from his role at Projective Cities, he is also teaching Diploma Unit 7 with Hamed Khosravi. He has also taught at the Berlage Institute (Netherlands), in the MArch Urban Design programme at the Bartlett, the RCA, Syracuse University and the University of Cyprus.

Hamed Khosravi is an architect, researcher and educator. He graduated from the University of Tehran and holds an MA in Urbanism from TU Delft and IUAV (Venice). He completed his PhD at TU Delft and the Berlage Institute, and has taught at TU Delft, the Berlage Institute and Oxford Brookes University. At the AA, Hamed is also teaching Diploma Unit 7.
Specification – Programme Details

The **MPhil in Architecture and Urban Design (Projective Cities)** is an interdisciplinary research and design programme that examines multi-scalar questions arising at the intersection of architecture, urban design and planning. The programme is dedicated to a systematic analysis of, design experimentation for, theoretical speculation on, and critical writing about the contemporary city. Student projects combine new design and traditional forms of research, while challenging existing disciplinary boundaries and contributing to emerging spatial design practice and knowledge. The programme recognises hereby the need for a new practice-led research training, as architectural and urban design practice is increasingly research-led, demanding from graduates a new multidisciplinary knowledge.

**Projective Cities** proposes architectural design as a precondition to the conception, realisation, and subversion of urban plans.

**Projective Cities** recognises architecture and the city as a collective form of knowledge shaped by cultural, social, political, and economic contexts.

**Projective Cities** specifically raises the question of what kind of project and research arises from architecture and architectural urbanism. It sets out to define the status and methods of design research. This is understood both as an intellectual problem, exploring the relationship between theory and design for knowledge production and the discipline, as well as a practical problem, of the way that design research can affect practice.

**Projective Cities** has been highly successful in preparing its graduates for diverse careers in academia and practice, with graduate destinations including PhD programmes, academic or research careers and joining leading design offices.

**Projective Cities** is a critical forum to engage with questions of governance and development in the context of global challenges of urbanisation. Its objective is to respond to current urban, environmental and social crises by rethinking the agency of spatial design and development within specific political, economic, social and cultural contexts.

**Projective Cities** prepares its candidates for independent research through a framework of rigorous design and research methodologies. The first year of the programme is taught, introducing students to research methods, academic writing, architectural and urban histories and theories, advanced analytical techniques and computational design in preparation for a substantial dissertation project. At the end of the first year, students submit a research proposal. This is developed in the second year, leading to an integrated design and written dissertation.

**Projective Cities** seeks candidates with a desire to develop substantial and original research. It seeks exceptional thinkers, gifted designers and critical writers with an interest in the future of our cities.

The ambitions of **Projective Cities** are framed by the following methodological and pedagogical propositions through which our research is clarified:
That the contemporary city can be read as an architectural project and the city as a projection of the possibilities of architecture. That typal and typological are complementary disciplinary frameworks and conceptual modes of thinking in which reason acquires a critical and conjectural structure. That the urban plan and its cultural, social, political, historical, and economic contexts are defined by architectural design operative at different scales. That architectural and urban design are intelligible as formal and theoretical products of disciplinary activity as well as the collective formal outcome of socio-political forces. That design and research activities are inseparable in architecture and urbanism, and that knowledge production (theory) and formal production (practice) are methodologically linked.

Architecture and urbanism are symbiotic modes of enquiry driven by relevance and agency within a field and not novelty for their own sake. This field is defined in terms of a series of distinct diagrams that are always social and spatial. We aim to investigate the politics, the asymmetries and power relations that define all these diagrammatic relations.

**MPhil: Architecture and Urban Design (Projective Cities)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>AIMS AND OBJECTIVES</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Aims</strong></td>
<td>Projective Cities aims to develop innovative researchers capable of working across cultural, disciplinary, and sectoral boundaries. It hereby also aims to foster practice-led research and new design research methodology in spatial design disciplines.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objectives</strong></td>
<td>The objectives of the programme are:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• to enable students to gain mastery of a complex and specialised area of knowledge and skills, employing advanced skills to conduct design research, and accepting accountability for related decision making, including the use of supervision;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• to provide students with knowledge of theory, methods, and practice of research needed to conduct and complete independent and original research projects in architecture, urban design, planning and related spatial design disciplines;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• to train students in the combination of theoretical, historical, and practical design research;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• to prepare students for diverse research careers, including doctoral level studies or research-led practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning Outcomes 'LO'</td>
<td>Knowledge and Understanding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A1 Knowledge: A systematic understanding of knowledge across architecture, urban design, and planning, and a critical awareness of current problems and/or insights at the forefront of related scholarship and professional practice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2 Ethics: An awareness of and ability to manage the implications of ethical issues.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3 Methodology: A comprehensive understanding of techniques and methodologies applicable to their own research and advanced scholarship (theory and practice-led design research).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B</th>
<th>Cognitive and Intellectual Skills (Generic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B1 Analysis: The ability to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2 Synthesis: The ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3 Evaluation: A conceptual understanding enabling the critical evaluation of current research, advanced scholarship, and methodologies, especially in the disciplines of architecture, urban design, and planning; and the ability to develop critiques of them and, where appropriate, to propose new hypotheses.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4 Application: The capacity for self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>C</th>
<th>Practical and Professional Skills (Subject Specific)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1 Application of Skills: The capacity for decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations, exercising initiative and personal responsibility with an awareness of good practice.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2 Technical Skills: The ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>D</th>
<th>Key and Transferable Skills (Generic)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1 Learning: The capacity for independent learning required for continuing professional development, using the full range of learning resources. When applicable, the ability to work effectively within a group as leader or member and the skills to manage conflict effectively.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2 Self-evaluation: The ability to critically reflect on their own and others’ learning in order to improve their practice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3 Management: The ability to competently and autonomously plan and undertake research</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4 Communication: The ability to communicate research and conclusions clearly to specialist and non-specialist audiences</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERM</td>
<td>CODE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TEACHING AND LEARNING STRATEGIES**

The required knowledge and understanding are acquired through the seminar courses, design studios, and academic writing courses. Intellectual and research skills are developed throughout the programme, in particular Seminar Courses and the Dissertation, while the Design Studios present opportunities to develop knowledge and understanding in an analytical design context.

Individual research, presentations, written essays and the Dissertation Proposal and Dissertation, encourages students to make critical and analytical observations and formulate hypotheses.

Students are introduced to research methods, academic writing throughout the programme. An initial comprehensive reading list is provided at the start of the course, which is supplemented by guidance on reading in the seminars and supervision as relevant. Research methods, techniques, and analytical skills are developed through all coursework.

The course requires students to take responsibility in planning their own research and provides regular opportunities to present their work through visual, written, and oral means. Through the coursework, students develop independently and systematically how to frame concepts, techniques, and ideas in creative and rigorous ways. Regular feedback is provided in the form of tutorials, submission assessments, or review reports.

Students benefit from continuous support and regular feedback sessions in individual and group tutorials throughout the programme to assist, direct, and monitor progress.

During Year 1, integrated design studios and computational workshops, seminars, and academic writing courses are the core modules providing students with the technical skills and knowledge of research methodologies and practices necessary to formulate and complete an independent research project. While design studios and seminars train analytical research skills and methods, students learn in complementary workshops the practical skills required for design research.
The start of Year 2 corresponds to the beginning of the next academic year at the AA. Year 2 is dedicated to the development of the designed and written Dissertation. Throughout the year, students are closely guided by their personal dissertation supervisor(s) and have access to other programme staff and external consultants for further or specialist advice as needed and agreed with the Programme Directors.

Prior Learning
Students are expected to have previously gained basic academic abilities and levels of competency that allow them to fully engage with the programme. Familiarity with teaching and learning methods common to design studios and seminar courses is a prerequisite on which the pedagogy of the programme builds.

Seminar Courses
The pedagogical aim of the seminar courses is to provide students with a knowledge and understanding of architectural and urban histories and theories and to develop their intellectual and research skills. A particular focus is given to the fields of knowledge that define design research in architecture and urban design.

All seminars have a common structure and method, with appropriate minor variation in delivery during each term of Year 1. Each session takes up an entire morning or afternoon. A typical session consists of a lecture or seminar by the instructor, presentations by students, and group discussions. Students are asked to read preparatory or follow up material, and to make short oral or written individual presentations.

Each seminar course has a written submission (4,000 words). The seminars are supported by academic writing courses as well as individual and group tutorials to aid students in their essay development. Students present an essay outline and submit a draft prior to the final submission.

All seminars are open to members of the AA.

Academic Writing Course
The aim of the academic writing courses is to teach and exercise academic writing conventions and general writing skills, preparing students for longer written submissions.

The courses are organised as seminars, writing workshops, and individual tutorials. Seminars discuss the structure and purpose of writing, as well as academic conventions, while workshops provide writing exercises and direct feedback.

Each course is assessed through several short-written pieces of up to 2,000 words, with students provided with feedback on several drafts prior to submission.

Design Studios and Skills Workshops
The aim of the design studios is to provide students with a knowledge and understanding of architectural and urban design practices and to develop their analytical rigour and creativity through case study research and small design exercises. The design studios are complemented by computational workshops to develop the technical skills to draw, model, and analyse architecture and urban design at an advanced level.
Students work in small groups or individually as assigned at the beginning of each exercise. They document their progress for individual tutorials each week (at least twice a week) and regularly present to their peers, programme staff, and external reviewers.

The work is compiled and submitted at the end of each term in a studio report for assessment. Submissions are based on graphical, visual, and physical work (diagrams, drawings, collages, models etc.) as appropriate. The studio reports include concise writing and analysis of relevant projects, theories, and histories to clearly establish the context and framing of the studies, thereby directly linking to the seminar courses.

**Thesis-Studio**

The Thesis-Studio combines the teaching and learning strategies of the design studios and seminar courses. Its aim is to provide students with the knowledge and understanding to formulate an independent research and design agenda. Throughout the Thesis-Studio, seminars and studio tutorials aid students to define their research enquiry.

At the end of the Thesis-Studio, students present their Dissertation Proposal in a formal review with programme staff and invited external reviewers for final comments prior to submission. The submission consists of an integrated written portion (equivalent to an essay), an illustrated research dossier (equivalent to a studio report), and preliminary design proposals. The Dissertation Proposal is to clearly frame the planned research by providing: a problem definition, research aims, discussion of relevant literature and case studies, research methodology, a plan of execution, and preliminary design briefs and proposals.

During the Thesis-Studio, the Taught Phase and Research Phase overlap, with students beginning work on their Dissertation.

**Dissertation**

The aim of the designed and written Dissertation is to provide students with an opportunity to conduct a substantial, original, and independent research project. The Dissertation represents 60% of the total credits for the MPhil degree and reflects on the programme’s areas of research and a student’s personal interests, background, special skills, and knowledge.

Dissertation supervision is in principle through two programme staff members or assigned by agreement with the Programme Director. Students are able to meet their personal supervisor(s) at least twice a week for advice and guidance. In addition, students can seek direction from other programme staff or external expert consultants as needed.

Supervision and progress monitoring of students during the Dissertation takes place through the following formats:

- **Twice a week:** Individual tutorials with supervisor(s).
- **Once a month:** Dissertation Forum in which all students of a cohort present and discuss their research.
- **Once a term:** Internal progress review with programme staff.
In addition, there is a Final Design Review (beginning of Term 5) and a Final Presentation (end of Term 5) with invited critics. Students receive written feedback on these two reviews, as well as oral feedback in tutorials prior to submission of the Dissertation.

The minimum requirement to qualify for the MPhil degree is the submission of a designed-and-written Dissertation that consist of comprehensive design proposals at architectural and urban scales and integrated written research consisting of 15,000 words. The Dissertation is to demonstrate academic rigour and originality.

**Tutorials**

Within all modules, the progress of students is monitored and assisted through regular weekly individual and group tutorials. The modules have appointed tutors who are available at scheduled times. However, teaching staff are available for additional tutorials if necessary.

**Project Presentations and Reviews**

Individual and group presentations are regular events and part of all modules. Their aim is to develop presentation skills, but also serve as a means to monitor progress by staff as well as between peers.

**Student Feedback**

Feedback is essential for the continued development, improvement, and updating of the course. Student feedback on the programme’s structure, content, delivery, and methodology is welcomed at any time. A formal and minuted feedback meeting with programme staff and students takes place at the beginning of Term 2. In addition, students are issued with an anonymous Programme Evaluation Form before submitting their Dissertation.

**Study Trips and Special Events**

Study trips involve visits to buildings and cities of interest, meetings with designer, experts, and researchers outside the School. Special events, such as symposia or reviews with other students, depend on the topics and interests of the on-going research agendas.
LEARNING SUPPORT
The AA Student Handbook and AA Academic Regulations provide information on all aspects of the AA School’s organisation, resources and facilities, and academic and administrative policies. All students automatically become members of the Architectural Association (Inc.) and are also part of the AA School, an independent school of architecture governed by the Architectural Association.

Reference Material and Libraries
All printed items on the programme’s reading lists will be available in the AA Library or will be made available by the programme (digitally or as hardcopy). In addition, students have access to specialised libraries that include:
- British Library
- RIBA British Architectural Library and Drawing Collection
- University College London, Bartlett Library
- University of London, Library
- Westminster Reference Library
- Open University Library

All students may in addition request material not held in the AA Library through the Inter-Library Loan scheme that sources books from the British Library and other UK Higher Education libraries, or where necessary from across the world.

AA School Resources
The main facilities available to all students, such as the AA Archives, Audiovisual Lab, Bookshop, Computer Room, Digital Prototyping Workshop, Digital Photography Studio, Drawing Material Shop, Exhibitions, Hooke Park, Library, Model-making Workshop, Photo Library, Restaurant and Bar, and Wood and Metal Workshops, will be introduced at the beginning of the academic year to new students if needed.

Computing: Students are expected to at least own a laptop. Each student has access to a full suite of design software and the school’s intranet, internet, and other resources. Software introduced in the programme is available on the computers in the Computer Room. Computers, printers, and scanners are accessible in the school’s Computer Room and AA Library.

Model Making & Prototyping: The AA School has its own Workshop, Model Workshop, and Digital Prototyping Workshop in which most types of models can be produced. Modelling materials can be either purchased through them or at the AA Materials Shop. The School also has a Digital Photo Studio for photographing models and drawings.

Outside useful sources are:
- Paperchase (https://www.paperchase.co.uk) for cards, papers, etc
- London Graphics Centre (http://www.londongraphics.co.uk/) for all graphics supplies
- 4D Modelshop (https://modelshop.co.uk/) for model materials
- Lee 3D (www.lee3d.co.uk/) for colour 3D printing
- i.materialise (http://i.materialise.com) for 3D printing.
- 2MZ (http://2mz.co.uk/) for laser cutting
- Online Reprographics (www.onlinerepro.co.uk) for high-quality printing
**Studio Space:** All students have their own workspace within the programme’s studios. They are generally open during term time from around 10am until 10pm on weekdays and from 10am till 5pm on Saturdays.

**Communication:** Students on the programme are required to confirm their contact details at the beginning of the course and to check their emails daily for updates on weekly events, tutorials, and reviews. All AA students are eligible to open an AA email account, the use of which is subject to AA’s Internet and Email Usage Policy.

**Pastoral Care:** All students experiencing difficulties personally or with their studies should initially consult with and notify their Programme Director. Following this initial meeting the student should then contact and arrange to meet with the AA Registrar. In addition, meetings can be arranged with the Head of the Graduate Management Committee, if the matter is related to academic or study activities. Students are encouraged to inform programme staff immediately of any issues or concerns that arise at any time throughout the year.

**Bursaries**
AA Bursaries are offered to new AA Graduate School students for an academic year. Students must apply by the January application deadline for admission to the School, in order to be considered for an AA Bursary. The AA Graduate Bursary Committee bases its decision on a combination of merit, financial need, and recommendation from the AA Graduate School Programme Director/s.

Projective Cities’ students are also eligible to apply for an AA Bursary for their second year of study. Information is advertised in March of each year via the Events List, AA website and posters.

**Personal Development Plan**
At the beginning of Term 3, when working on their Dissertation Proposal, students complete a Training Needs Analysis to assess their skills, achievements, and needs in research, learning, communication, and career planning. They also complete a Personal Development Plan, which is to help them in prioritising these needs in light of where they are and to set timeframes to realistically achieve these goals. Both are updated and re-discussed in Year 2 with programme staff to help students develop their skills and ability to reflect on their development as researchers and professionals, and to provide appropriate training where needed.
Module Specifications
SYNOPSIS

Each cohort of Projective Cities examines a common theme as the starting point for individual research agendas. The current theme is the Architecture of Collective Living. The ambition is to investigate, by comparative analysis, the different organizational, formal, programmatic, and material particularities that define the Architecture of Collective Living in series of historic and contemporary case studies. The different political, economic, social, and cultural dimensions are reflected in a number of parameters that emerge by a series of conflictual aims and ambitions. Different social groups and their interests, different conceptions of social, familial and gender relations, management and decision-making protocols, procurement models, public and private development strategies define the diagrammatic and formal relations of how we live together. All these points define a network of diagrammatic relations that emerge in a series of conflicts and their interrelated scales through which housing and the city are conceptualised: the scale of architecture, its specificity and typological analysis, the urban scale, its configuration, limits, and centralities but also the political and socio-economic realities that organise it, the national scale and the establishment of a citizenry, and the regional scale and its economic and geopolitical realities.

Architecture of Collective Living therefore opens up a discussion of how the urban can be understood through specific architecture and its design, and how its effect as an urban armature is not only of spatial importance, but equally organised by larger political and social discourses. The spatial organization of the Architecture of Collective Living is reflected on a series of informal and formal relations between subjects, between spaces, between structural and non-structural elements, between objects, and protocols of use and occupation. Any form of collective living is characterised by this multiscalar network of power relations that is specific and particular to each social group and collective that lives together. A series of asymmetries and conflicts emerge that require a resolution framework or at least protocols of conduct. What architecture does is to set up some of these parameters, mainly the definition of units, the relations between parts and the way groups of spaces and people are organised.

Architectural typologies of collective living are shaped by these distinct social diagrams but could vary spatially and formally. Typically, collective living organises part to whole relations that set levels of interaction between individuals: rooms, dwelling units, horizontal and vertical circulations, spaces of collective activities and programmes, complexes, and larger groupings. Distinct types -courtyards, towers, linear blocks- and composite and hybrid types organize the ways and the spaces these different interactions could occur.
Collective living and its politically, historically, socially, economically, and culturally specific characteristics have the capacity to challenge the fundamental diagram of modernity: domesticity. The domestic is a spatial and social diagram that sets very specific hierarchies and relations - gender, age, and programmatic. Today, the single-family dwelling is challenged by the realities of contemporary urban environments. New subjectivities have emerged: many live outside family structures, a younger generation shares housing and working spaces, an increasingly precarious and migrant working force requires short term, serviced accommodation, elderly population has become more present and active in cities across the world.

The reality of the real estate market, the available design tools and building methods and standards are not necessarily reflecting upon the above transformations. Often, the challenges of new forms of collective living are tackled as a financial problem, or an issue of density and lifestyle. However, historically collective living and forms of living together has had the capacity of opening up social and spatial imagination. Today, there is an array of incredibly interesting experimentation in collective living protocols and architectural configurations, such as new forms of cooperatives that have proposed new types of collective living units, such as the ‘cluster apartment’. Moreover, public administrations and private stakeholders are seeking new ideas that would allow for an imaginative transformation of how people live in cities, in urban and rural areas across the world.

Thus, one of the challenges arising from the Architecture of Collective Living is how architecture can respond to changing political, cultural, economic, and urban contexts and how to propose new effective design ideas and models. What is the agency of architecture? How do we develop a pedagogical model that allows for a more effective relation between academic institutions and practice?

**AIMS**
Familiarisation with the case study method and concepts of fundamental type and formative diagrams. Development of descriptive and analytical diagrams.

**MODULE CONTENT**
In Studio 1: Parts, Units and Groups, students will be given a series of case studies, historic and contemporary. Then, they will have to define a preliminary research interest that would allow them to select other relevant examples of collective living. A number of related analytical studies and comparative analyses of architectural precedents frame individual student’s preliminary research interests, i.e. the way they would approach the design and research questions of collective living.

**1. Field of Interest and Enquiry**
- Define an area of interest and find relevant documents or objects in an archive or collection.
- Decide which specific group of building types to study and a socio-cultural or political context for the research.
- Compile a list of at least 6 architectural built or unbuilt case studies that are chosen from the selected group of building types.

The archival material and its study should help to better define the field of interest and research enquiry. Identify a number of documents or objects from an archive or collection and consider: What is the significance of the chosen material to your research? How does the material relate to or raise
a design research problem? How is the material selected, curated and accessed in the archive and in your presentation? Provide a bibliography relevant to the material.

2. Architecture’s Formative Diagrams
The chosen case studies are to be described and analysed through drawings. The analysis of building types and their formative diagrams requires the study of common shared traits by recognising organisational and structural repetitions or exceptions that define their typicality both in a formal sense and their socio-cultural meaning. The commonalities and transformations evident in a particular group of building types are compared as a series of descriptive and analytical diagrams that convey a building type’s collective form, structure, organisation, and construction (often only clearly recognisable through their development over time). In architecture, typology is closely connected to the functions of the diagram, and this is explored in the following.

For the abstraction of formative diagrams, students will first redraw the projects. Consideration should be given to the typical unit, e.g. a single room for an individual, a modular unit, a flat or a house, and their interior definition by furniture, relations between individuals that share a number of spaces, dwelling regimes and protocols of sharing, but also the relevance of outdoor spaces, shared and common spaces, and spaces other than the typical units.

The drawings should clearly convey the following characteristics and details (as applicable):

Description of Architecture
• Project descriptions (name, location, year, architect, project brief)
• Location plan, plan(s), section(s), and elevation(s)

Analysis of Architecture
• Figure-ground plan/section
• Orientation
• Massing [+ axonometric]
• Structural organisation [+ axonometric]
• Programme
• Circulation-to-use (hierarchy and procession)
• Part-to-whole [+ axonometric]
• Repetitive-to-unique (modularity) [+ axonometric]
• Geometrical order
• Parti

[Note: For drawing conventions and examples see Sam Jacoby, Drawing Architecture and the Urban (Chichester: Wiley, 2016).]

3. Comparative Analysis
Following the abstraction of the formative diagrams, matrices comparing the precedents can be drawn to define shared traits and structures that characterised the studied group of building types. This analysis should include (as applicable):
• Disposition: single-room building, multi-room building, and building complex; square, radial, and triangular.
• Distribution: linear, parallel, axial, radial, centralised, and clustered; rooms, corridor (single and
double loaded), passages, and enfilade (single and double).
• Hierarchy and Layering (Comparison of hierarchy and relational shifts evident in different layers).
• Modularity or Difference (Comparison of repetitive parts in relation to the structure of the whole or comparison of formal, structural, and organisational differences).
• Growth and Limits (Comparison of growth patterns and their limit in relation to specific formative elements, repetitive or modular elements, programme, and structure).

4. Conclusion 1: Historical and Structural Analysis
Although precedents are often understood as historical, the studio considers the inevitable transformation of current typological models within its context and in relation to the contemporary city. The previously derived comparative matrices are meant to assist in drawing these analytical and in parts speculative conclusions in order to raise and answer a number of questions:

• What are their idea diagrams?
(What are the commonalities and inter-dependencies between relative formal, structural, cultural, and performative types within a group?)
• What are their transformative matrices?
(What generates or limits the emergence of a particular type and what is the historical transformation of this type in response to its possible redundancy and expiry?)
• What are potential typological transformations?
(How can historical or existing types be defined as having a sustained relevance?)

The analysed case studies, representing certain moments in the transformation of building types, should be contextualised by framing them within a comparative history. This history, a very brief survey made up of diagrams, photographs, drawings, and text, maps out the emergence and development of the chosen building type and should be part of the conclusions. Questions to be considered, for example, are the definition of generic room sizes, and how the social diagram of housing and collective living change (e.g. from forms of pre-modern, multigenerational dwellings, or early models of non-familial housing types, to typical flats and nuclear family housing examples). At the same time, although being a general history, ideas of what kind of urban question this potentially raises should be outlined. This analysis requires:

• A list of exemplary and typical precedents that represents the chosen building type and significant transformations.
• A written and illustrated description and review of these precedents, outlining their commonalities and differences, while providing a coherent argument and criteria for the inclusion or exclusion of specific precedents.
• A historical timeline that charts the case studies and their typological transformations.

Through the comparative history and matrices, an argument and assessment of the historical transformations of building types can be attempted, providing the grounds for a preliminary projection of an anticipated or necessary (future) typological transformation. Altogether, the aim is to understand typology less as a classification of building types, or for that matter as contained by building types itself, but to seek typological diagrams that transcend classificatory restraints.
5. Conclusion 2: Design Exercise

Based on the studied type, the identified formative diagrams, and typological transformations, a short design exercise is to be proposed by each student. Learning from the case studies, each will select his/her own target site and will formulate relevant research questions, to address a project for a (new) form of collective living for specific subjects. The synthesis of historical analyses, their embedded social and familial relations, modes of production, and forms of association, in relation to specific sociopolitical context of the chosen site, will generate a frame of relations, an organizational diagram that would eventually be developed into series of projective drawings, models, writings, and moving images.

The brief for the exercise is to specify:
• A specific collective
• A specific city or neighbourhood (density, built form)
• An area schedule (programme in m²)
• A typological and limiting constraint
• A particular protocol of living (eg. kind/type of sharing programmes)
• A particular development models
• Materials/construction method

LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE

B1 Be able to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.

B2 Be able to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.

B4 Demonstrate self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.

C2 Be able to develop new technical skills to a high level.

D1 Have the capacity for independent learning required for continuing professional development.

Assessment method

Studio report (illustrations, drawings and writing)

Assessment Criteria

• The ability to critically analyse, interpret, compare, and generalise case studies.
• The depth of understanding disciplinary knowledge and design research techniques in architecture.
• Competence in architectural modes of representations and productions.
• Originality and rigour in developing a design brief and proposal.
• The ability to clearly communicate concepts and work.
Primary Readings:

Recommended Readings:
SYNOPSIS

The seminar course is focused on the architectural scale and introduces a number of research and design methodologies, as well as theories or themes critical to the programme, such as type, typology, drawing, and diagram. The seminar explores questions of a systematic understanding of disciplinary knowledge and methodical design in architecture, thereby examining a historiography of a modern reasoning of form.

All seminars are structured as follows:
- Discussion of student summaries of previous seminar;
  (Students are asked to write a few paragraphs following each seminar to summarise the main discussion and in addition formulate a number of questions that were examined and/or raised by the last seminar)
- presentation/lecture by seminar tutor;
- presentation of a text and/or project by a student;
  (A student will present a selected text or project. This should include a short background to the text/author, and a review and discussion of the text/project. This is to be submitted as a written text of 2-3 pages.)
- discussion
  (Optional reading and discussion of selected texts.)

AIMS

Familiarisation of students with architectural theories and theories of design methods. To provide a critical survey of the historiography and history of ideas framed by typological and typal reasoning, including the clarification of type as a form of reasoning that is traditionally distinguished as relating either to a design method or critical theory.
MODULE CONTENT

Seminar 1 consists of a series of seminar presentations by programme staff and guests.

1. Introduction to Archival Research and design research methods

This seminar has a twofold purpose. First, it consists of an introduction to archival work in a research context, while referring to different form of disciplinary knowledge and practice. Secondly, it introduces key references of architectural design scholarship and research methodologies.

Primary Readings:
Fraser, Murray, ed., Design Research in Architecture (Farnham: Ashgate, 2013).

Recommended Readings:

2. Forms and Diagrams of Collectivity

The lecture presents a selection of key texts, books, and projects that discuss key devices of architectural experimentation and their classifications in current and past architectural literature: collective programmes and equipments and their history of typal, formal and stylistic evolution. Moreover, the lecture draws attention on contemporary projects that re-claim this history as part of social struggles and transformation. How do these efforts relate with the discussion about programme, hybrid uses, public buildings, the style of (contemporary) architecture that exist with the discipline? As part of the seminar, students will have to select and present one case study, a building, complex, project that organised collective programmes and subjects.

Primary Readings:
Moussavi, Farshid, The Function of Form (Barcelona: Actar, 2009).

Recommended Readings:
Araguez, Jose, ed. The Building (Zurich: Lars Muller, 2016).
Santos, Boaventura de Sousa, Another Production is Possible: Beyond the Capitalist Canon (London: Verso, 2006).
3. Forms of Urban Knowledge: Navigational / Indexical / Figurative

How do we understand cities? How do we extract knowledge from cities? How does this knowledge make visible or conceal, represent or distort, celebrate or interrogate actual and virtual dimensions of urbanity? How do we understand the past, negotiate the present and speculate the future of our lives in cities, as a category that has dramatically fluctuated and expanded? This seminar intends to introduce such diverging, and at times contradictory, forms of urban knowledge, loosely described as the navigational, indexical and figurative. Discussions will intersperse historical tools of mnemonics, projectives, taxonomy, cartography, anatomy, photography, to more recent forms of diagrams, collages, moving images, algorithm and immersive environments.

**Primary Readings:**

**Recommended Readings:**

4. Forms of Abstraction: Money / Property / Territory

The seminar is an attempt to discuss fundamental forms of abstraction – money, territory, debt and property – and the way they appear and define the phenomena of the urban. The category that will operate to unlock their rigidity is the one of economy, as this becomes an almost unchallenged concept instrumental for the dissolution of modern politics. If abstraction is the condition of modernity, then management and administration are the tools of modern governance. What asymmetries these create? How law and biopolitics construct, if they do, a different type of space and subjectivity? How debt, as Maurizio Lazzarato had argued, becomes a political construction, an ontological guilt initiated by capital, which cannot be reduced to an economic mechanism, but constitutes a device of governance and control? What is the space that reflects this real estate and management?

**Primary Readings:**
Recommended Readings:

5. Politics of Urban Form
Since the beginning of the Renaissance we can trace a paradigm shift in the idea of urban form; the image of the good city, which was once bound firmly to its military strength and fortification, was replaced by the abstract notion of ‘production’. The idea of a good urban form was therefore developed in the same line; ‘circulation’ and ‘distribution’ became the driving force of urban development. What has changed was not only the form of the city, but also its subjects. The seminar discusses the rather long history through case studies from Cerdà *Urbanización* to Hilberseimer’s *Hochhausstadt*.

Primary Readings:

Recommended Readings:

6. Mediated and Relational Urbanity
The trajectory of twentieth century urbanism was marked by the drive to overcome the dominance of hierarchical, singular, objective and oftentimes utopic understanding of cities and to embrace that which may be more inclusive, plural, relational and heterotopic. This challenge to shift thresholds of visibility is evident in ways that projects on cities experiment and adopt a multitude of mediums – from the photographic, cinematic, journalistic, performative, participatory, to ubiquitous digital networks and interfaces – and produce a growing corpus of new knowledge and approaches to urbanism. This seminar will trace distinct paradigmatic shifts toward mediated and relational urbanity: the cinematic eye of the flaneur, the psychogeographic construct of the situationist, the narrative dimension of the urban transcriber, the emotional feedback of the sentient computer, and the memorialization of trauma of the city that needs to forget.

Primary Readings:

**Recommended Readings:**


7. **Contestation of Space and Urban Activism**

The demand of contemporary society for progress, regulation and security, as dictated by the logic of market economy and politics of exclusion, has resulted in the erosion of the public domain, i.e. spaces that which remains equally accessible and usable for all citizens, in the city. This seminar reveals such problems of privatization, commercialization, planning and development upon public spaces in cities, and discusses modes of resistance, participation, mediation and activation through distinct forms of architectural and urban design practices. The increasing attention and visibility given to the everyday, the behavioral, the incidental, the agentive, the incremental, the accumulative and the negotiated have granted further power to ordinary citizens to occupy and modify truly public urban conditions.

**Primary Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


8. **Inhabitable Walls: On Architecture, Power, and Territory**

For early nomadic societies, this spiritual dimension was of great importance. In the struggle to survive in harsh conditions, each aspect of life was a rite, watched over by a spirit or god, and the house was the spatial manifestation of those rites, safeguarding and regulating every action. Daily existence was carefully choreographed within the ‘sacred enclosure’, a sequence of inhabitable walls that protected life and allowed it to proliferate. The seminar investigates the specific historical typologies emerged as a result of the performance of nomadic subjects over a territory. The
architecture of these typologies embeds the power relations as well as spatial apparatuses to tame the territory.

Readings:

9. Architecture and Logistics

Architecture has been always one of the pillars of the global economy; where capital is accumulated and circulated, where various forms of labour meet. Logistics is the founding principle of today’s economy. Whereas the former economy was based on industrial production, logistics is meta-production: second-level production, the production of production, the infrastructure that makes any other production possible. The architecture of logistics, ranging from the shipping vessels, dockyards, oil rigs, harbours, warehouses, and fulfilment centres, is then a direct modulation of these standardized procedures, making the space a highly generic environment able to cope with (economic, environmental, political) instability and change. Often considered as an “architecture without humans,” such architecture is in fact the breeding ground for new forms of resistance and re-organization.

Readings:

10. Super-, Inter- and Infra-Structures of Cities

This seminar sets out to speculate what will come of cities in the future. From pictures of earth as a sphere of fluid to information as computer circuit board, from notions of non-places to networked spaces, the actual physical form of cities has gradually been subordinated by that which lie above, below, in-between and formless/in-form. As much as a city can be comprehended from a street bench or from one or several satellites, all these infra- and extra-ordinary forces at play ultimately influence new languages and operations of forming, of design and material arrangement, in cities as projects.
Primary Readings:

Recommended Readings:
Kwinter, Sanford, Requiem: For the City at the End of the Millenium (Barcelona: Actar, 2010).
Friedman, Yona and Orazi, Manuel, Yona Friedman: The Dilution of Architecture (Zurich: Park Books, 2016).

LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE

B1  Ability to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.

B2  Ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.

B4  Demonstration of self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.

D1  Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources. When applicable, ability to work effectively within a group as leader or member and skill to manage conflict effectively.

Assessment method
Essay (3000-4000 words).

Assessment Criteria
The Essay assessment is based on:
• A critical knowledge and understanding of the principles and concepts introduced in the seminars.
• The rigour and originality in developing arguments and providing supportive evidence.
• The ability to demonstrate clear methodology and structure in the planning and execution of a research inquiry.
• The ability to clearly and persuasively present and debate arguments.
• The ability to reference sources of information using agreed conventions.
SYNOPSIS
Complementary to Seminar 1, students are introduced to academic writing. The course is scheduled once a week. On days when no seminars or group sessions take place, individual tutorials are given to discuss any writing in progress (also available to Year 2 students).

AIMS
To familiarise students with academic writing conventions and the importance of writing to formulate a research argument. Understanding of the differences in writing when examining a case study or text source.

MODULE CONTENT
Week 1: Evidence and Differences of Opinions
This seminar examines the role of critical reading, collecting notes and identifying differences of opinions in the preliminary collection of information for the purpose of scholarly writing.

Week 3: Framing Debates
This seminar examines how the format and structure of written material can frame discourses, debates and the intellectual argument of the writing.

Week 6: Submission 1 (Draft)
Edited summary of one of the Seminar 1 texts (ca 1,200 words) is to be submitted in Week 6. This will be assessed as a ‘work in progress’ towards Writing Submission due on first Wednesday of the following term, expanded to ca 2,000 words, which will be formally marked).

Week 6: Modes and Tools of Research
This seminar examines how varied and multivalent modes of research can be employed to inform a scholarly project.

Week 6-10: Submission 2
Descriptions of 3 case studies related to Studio 1 are to be completed between Week 6-10. A summary text should frame a problem or issue that relates the case studies. Drafts of case studies will be work-shopped during the term.
Week 9: Arguments and Propositions
Drawing on the first seminars, this session will examine how the research, case studies and other collected supporting materials (such as images) can be collated and structured in order to formulate and express a scholarly argument.

LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE

C2 Ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.
D1 Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources.

Assessment method
Short text/literature review (ca 1,200 words)

Assessment Criteria

• Clarity, intelligence, and rigour in summarising texts and case studies.
• Compliance with academic referencing standards.

Various exemplary students' writings at undergraduate, postgraduate and PhD levels will be introduced and discussed in sessions.

Recommended Readings:
(a diverse range of approaches to writings which will be referenced across Writing seminars):

Williams, Raymond, *Keywords: A Vocabulary of Culture and Society* (London: Fourth Estate Ltd., 1988).
### SYNOPSIS

The assumption underlying architectural urbanism is, that an interdisciplinary relation between architecture, urban design, and urban planning can be understood through multi-scalar reasoning. Furthermore, the analysis of architecture's formative diagrams in Studio 1 is seen as a prerequisite to an operative understanding of built forms within the city through a typal and typological analysis. Thereby questions emerging from the Architecture of Collective Living, provide a typological and intellectual framework to study this relationship in Term 2. Consequently, Studio 2 builds on the previously introduced concept of formative diagrams in relation to fundamental types as the basis to analyse models of collective living and forms of sharing, while the idea of type and typology is expanded to the study of the city. Studio 2 also introduces students to the conventions of urban planning, its parameters, processes, and limits.

Understanding fundamental types as providing basic organisational, structural, and tectonic elements of the city, and by drawing a deliberate relationship between the scales of building types and city, architectural design becomes operative at different scales. This means that the hierarchies, limits, and differentiations of building types and their structural and organisational diagrams can be seen to partially control urban development. In this sense, architectural and urban plans are intelligible as formal and theoretical products of disciplinary activity as much as the collective outcome of socio-political forces. The city, in other words, is defined by typological conflicts and transformations that arise when types encounter a specific context, become materially realised. By uncovering these conflicts and transformations of built form and the necessary scalar negotiations and translations, a specific idea of the city emerges that has intrinsic formal, spatial, and social relationships.

### AIMS

Familiarisation with the concepts typological conflict and transformation, and introduction to urban design and urban planning methodologies. Understanding of the socio-political, economic, ecological, spatial, and physical parameters or processes informing the development and formation of an urban plan.
MODULE CONTENT

1. Urban Plan Analysis
The studio begins with the selection and analysis of an existing or proposed urban plans for a contemporary city or a region in which the building types chosen in Studio 1 play a significant and formative role. The aim is for the students to initiate an active engagement with a selected case study, to contact local authorities, collective architects, planners and researchers, formulating a ‘live project’. As in Studio 1, relevant archival material should be identified and archives visited to study, analyse, represent the found material. Following this, the first analysis is that of common urban design and planning criteria, which should include the following common elements of an urban plan:

Description of Urban Plan
• Master plan
• Land use (zoning, coverage, massing, and density)

Analysis of Urban Plan
• Context and strategic analysis
  - Planning goals and policies
  - Economic development
  - Landform (and landscape)
  - Implementation and phasing

• Spatial and programmatic analysis
  - Figure-ground plan
  - Circulation and transportation
  - Services, facilities, and infrastructures
  - Natural resources, open space, recreation, and landscape
  - Hierarchy and organisation (structure, route, connection, and view)
  - Part-to-whole and repetitive-to-unique
  - Geometry, grids, and symmetry

2. Typological Conflict and Transformation
Following the basic analysis of the urban plan, the relationship of housing types to its conceptualisation, organisation, and formation is studied. How does the generality of type adapt to socio-cultural, economic, and political contexts? Within this study, the question whether a typological transformation results from a typological conflict, created by an insertion into a context, or a strategic argument and its possibilities within a context is emphasised. This expands the conclusions of Studio 1 and requires a good knowledge of the physical, social, and cultural context of the urban plan.

The identified conflicts and transformation are diagrammed and develop the preceding analysis. To begin with, the urban plan is compared to other plans and/or its architecture, considering or developing:

• Architecture’s relationships to the urban plan; scalar comparison
• Distribution: linear, parallel, axial, radial, centralised, and clustered
• Hierarchy and layering
• Modularity, difference, and flexibility
• Comparative matrix
• Timelines

Subsequently the transformation of the urban plan and its relation to formative types is studied by studying:
• Growth and limits
• Idea and strategy diagrams
• Transformation diagrams

This should be concluded in a set of speculative transformative matrices for the architectural building type and urban plan.

To conclude the analysis and speculations, a first attempt should be made to define:

1. How do the relationships between type and urban plan raise a larger disciplinary question, a discursive research problem that not only relates to the specific city and plan studied but to a general discussion and the contemporary city?
2. What is a clearly defined urban research question dealing with a design problem that emerges from the analysis?
3. What is a related yet distinct typological and architectural design research question?

While this is a first attempt to formulate questions important for the Dissertation Proposal, it is also a conclusion to Studio 2, potentially questioning some earlier conclusions from Studio 1.

3. Design Exercise
A short design brief, written by each student, will be explored over two weeks. The design exercise aims to explore cross-scalar relationships between the living units, urban compound (block), neighbourhood, and the city. Therefore, the two fundamental parameters to start with would be selecting a reference city and identifying a subject group, responding to which the formative diagram of the design exercise would be generated. The design would not only respond to the limitations, and constraints, but also informs a project; addressing inherent conflicts, power-relations, social challenges, and environmental issues. The brief should be positioned within the historical and contemporary case studies thoroughly research by the students.

The brief for the exercise is to specify:

• A typological transformation
• A specific area, location, neighbourhood of a selected city
• A specific collective, group (the subject(s) should be defined)
• A number of urban constraints (structural, physical, material, and programmatic)
LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE

B1 Ability to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.

B2 Ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.

B4 Demonstration of self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.

C2 Ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.

D1 Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources. When applicable, ability to work effectively within a group as leader or member and skill to manage conflict effectively.

Assessment method

Studio report (illustrations, drawings and writing)

Assessment Criteria

• The ability to critically analyse, interpret, compare, and generalise urban plans.
• The depth of understanding disciplinary knowledge and design research techniques in urbanism.
• Competence in modes of representations and productions in urban design and master planning.
• Originality and rigour in developing a design brief and proposal.
• The ability to clearly communicate concepts and work.

Primary Readings:


Lehnerer, Alex, Grand Urban Rules (Rotterdam: 010 Publishers, 2009).


Recommended Readings:


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit/Course Title</th>
<th>SEMINAR 2: Projects of the City (Surveys and Case Studies)</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>CA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>FHEQ Level 7</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Compulsory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching staff</td>
<td>Doreen Bernath, Platon Issaias, Hamed Khosravi and guest lecturers</td>
<td>Terms</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-requisite</td>
<td>.....</td>
<td>Pre-requisite</td>
<td>......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barred combinations</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning methods</td>
<td>Lectures Seminars/tutorials/ Self-directed learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SYNOPSIS**

The phenomenon of the city has been continuously theorised through a number of critical writings and projects that reformulate, and object to, its established history. At the same time, modern urban planning only emerged with scientific urbanism in the late-nineteenth century and was formalised by the Modern Movement. The course positions the modernist theories of a new contemporary city, which developed with an increased fascination with the city, in the wider context. The course proposes that the city has increasingly become a critical field of theory driven by practitioners in an attempt to reconnect architecture with the challenges and questions raised by the contemporary city and prolific urbanisation. Seminar 2 is divided into two distinctive parts. The first, explores the development of disciplinary knowledge about architecture and urbanism from the 19th century until today. The second part presents scholarly research in series of important contemporary case studies. This would allow students to formulate their individual research propositions for Thesis – Studio in Term 3.

**AIMS**

To provide students with a survey of theories that conceptualise the city, in particular the contemporary city, through its architecture and architectural projects. The seminar discusses theories of the city in relationship to critical architectural practice.

**MODULE CONTENT**

Seminar 2 consists of a series of seminar presentations by programme staff and guests.

1. **Survey I: The birth of Modern Town Planning and the epistemic framework of Urbanisation**

   This is a survey lecture providing an overview from the nineteenth- to twentieth-century ideas of the city and its planning. Following the Industrial Revolution, a rapid growth of cities led to a radical change of its traditional spatial organisation. In the second half of the nineteenth century, new systems and concepts of planning cities emerged. Progressive liberal thinkers, like Ildefons Cerdà, who coined the term ‘urbanisation’, but also physicians, philanthropists, radical politicians, bureaucrats, engineers and architects, started to formulate what gradually becomes a scientific
understanding of planning and city design as an ordering discipline. The seminar discusses key issues like hygiene, mobility, housing, education, administration, policing, family organisation and gender politics in relation to the development of key design principles that from then onwards dealt with the city as a multifaceted social, economic, and technical problem.

**Primary Readings:**
Howard, Ebenezer, *Garden Cities of To-morrow* (1898).

**Projects:**
Haussmann's Renovations of Paris (1852-70) by Georges-Eugène Haussmann
Snow, John, Broad Street (London) Cholera Map (1854)
Extension Plan of Barcelona: Eixample (from 1859) by Ildefons Cerdà
The Vienna Ring (1898) by Camillo Sitte
Booth, Charles, Poverty Map of London (1898-99)
Letchworth Garden City (from 1903) by Barry Parker and Raymond Unwin

**Recommended Readings:**

**2. Survey II: Housing Builds Cities**
2019 marks the 90th anniversary of the second C.I.A.M –Congrès Internationaux d’Architecture Moderne– and it represents a springboard for this seminar, which aims to revisit the debate over housing and urban planning experiences during the first half of the 20th century, in Europe and elsewhere. This would lead to demonstrate how housing has always embodied a social, morphological and structural unit for living, which has affected, and still does, the form and evolution of the city.
Primary Readings:

Projects:
Europe:
Ernst May: Neues Frankfurt, 1925–30
Ludwig Hilberseimer: Großstadtarchitektur, 1927
Gabriel Guevrekian & Le Corbusier: Paris Social Housing, 1928–30
The Austrian Werkbund, 1930–32

Soviet Union:
Large-scale housing complex, *dom-komuna*

Middle East:
Doxiadis’ *Ekistics*: Plans for Baghdad, Tehran, and Islamabad
Tehran city planning

Recommended Readings:

3. Survey III. Postwar Architecture: Colonial Struggles, Post-colonial nation building and the welfare state
Architectural ‘modernism’ and ideas and projects of modernization have often been presented in architectural historiography through a colonial lens that implied a rather global canon. However, recent scholarship influenced from post-colonial studies and radical cultural studies has critically approached many important examples, built projects, exhibitions and publications. The lecture presents key projects of nation building and cases of alternative models of urban design and architecture, mainly housing, that challenge the idea of a ‘universal modernity’.

Readings:

4. Survey IV. Cellular Urbanism
The City in Space was a research carried out by Taller d’Arquitectura Ricardo Bofill during 1968-1975. Responding to Barcelona’s council housing in the late 60s, the methodology allowed the generation of masterplans which starting point was a cell of domestic space. With that research, Taller d’Arquitectura positioned itself between the utopia and the realism, addressing the problem of affordable housing trough the minimum habitable cell, the role of the periphery, affordable housing or industrialization. These topics were addressed at the same time by other architects such as Archigram, Safdie or the Japanese Metabolist movement.
Primary Readings:

Projects:
Ricardo Bofill Taller d’Arquitectura, Walden 7
Moshe Safdie, Habitat 67
Archigram, Plug-in City
O.M. Ungers, Gutachten Ruhwald

Recommended Readings:

5. Case Study I. Athens, a project of crisis
The seminar present research the history of the Greek city and its distinct domestic architecture. The seminar aims to critique the popular category of ‘informal urbanism’ by interrogating the underlining relation between urban management and architectural form. What is at stake is to establish and theorize the strategic link between domestic space, production, conflict and debt. How forms of domestic ethos, habits and practices of domestic life could be related with administrative and managerial projects? How this way of thinking about the city could be used to confront the distinction between ‘formal’ and ‘informal’? What makes a diagram of space and social relations, such as the Greek apartment building, a successful territorial, biopolitical machine? The second lecture will present a series of projects done in Athens during the last decade, mainly reflecting to a condition of acute economic and spatial crisis.

Primary Readings:
Aureli, Pier Vittorio, Giudici, Maria, Issaias, Platon, ‘From Dom-no to Polykatoikia’, *Domus* 962, October 2012, pp.74-87.

Recommended Readings:
6. Case Study II. Tehran: Life within Walls

Life in Tehran proliferates and thrives in its interiors. When public space is policed and controlled, domestic interiors become art galleries, clubs, cultural centres, workshops, and offices. Interiors cease to be the exclusive domain for individual life and family matters; homes become the spaces in which new forms of collective life are experimented and nurtured, and the battleground for social conflicts and political constituencies. Through its extensive apparatus of drawings, the seminar presents an archaeological inquiry into the politics and the ecologies of the interior spaces of the Iranian metropolis, from its foundation as the Iranian capital until today.


7. Case Study III. Berlin and Archipelagos of Urban Forms

When it comes to positive propagations of urban activism and commoning, Berlin would be one of the most cited of examples in recent discourses on issues of public and civic spaces. Planned, expanded, destroyed, replanned, divided, opposed, re-stitched, Berlin's urban fabric has been subjected to drastic alterations from the introduction of the significant nineteenth century urban blocks, the contestation and divide of ideology between wars and after the war, to the patchwork re-stitching since reunification. What remained consistent is Berliners' adamant involving in shaping their own city – the 'sexy but poor' Berlin according to its mayor. From Kulturforum to Archipelagos, Hobrecht to Scharoun, Zoo to Stalinalle, Tempelhof to Palace of the People, fragments and connections of Berlin urban fabric will be examined to highlight how these become influential and controversial models of urban transformation both in and beyond itself.

**Primary Readings:**


**Recommended Readings:**


8. Case Study IV. China and the myth of Cities from Zero

From mass migration, urban villages, manufactured landscape to cities from zero, China has offered in recent times one of the most daring grounds for urban experimentation, attracting polarised views and debates. This seminar examines some of the most unusual features of this phenomenal urban expansion, much of which captured through perspectives and media unconventional to the discipline of urbanism. Sociological and anthropological studies, art projects and documentary films, stories and cultural insights, all have contributed to unveil complex actualities behind the often overtly simplified image dictated by planning and politics.

Readings:

Recommended Readings:
Mangurian, Robert and Ray, Mary-Ann, *Caochangdi Beijing inside out : farmers, floaters, taxi drivers, artists, and the international art mob challenge and remake the city* (Beijing: Timezone 8, 2010).

9. Case Study V. Territory, Settlement, Home: A Project for Rural China (Cyan Jingru Chen)

The countryside is the new frontline of urbanisation in China. The rural territory, new rural settlement and family home become key instruments of the state apparatus in the process of appropriation, redistribution and production. Eventually through the fine grain of daily routine and social behaviour, desired subjects are being constructed. The thesis is to, through design projects, disclose mechanisms of planning strategies underpinned by the growth centre doctrine, the urban spatial template for consolidating rural settlements and the modern apartment and family house as transformative tools to bring urban lifestyle to the countryside.

10. Case study VI. Reinvention of Identity in Post-socialist Cities

Architecture and urban conditions of the so-called post-socialist cities in the former socialist block of ‘Eastern Europe’, now thirty years after the lifting of the iron curtain, continue to bear significant remnants of conflicts of political ideologies reflected through conflicts of planning strategies, design styles and modes of materialisation. Choices of historicism, modernism, symbolism, traditionalism or realism in architectural and urban projects have often been elevated or demoted, loved and hated, applied and replaced dramatically and in haste. This seminar examines some of the recent conflicts in terms of urban development in eastern European cities and regions, as well as their interconnected relationships in the urge to reimagine its cities.

Primary Readings:
Tsenkova, Sasha and Nedovic-Budic, Zorica, eds. The Urban Mosaic of Post-Socialist Europe: Space, Institutions and Policy (Heidelberg: Physica-Verlag, 2006)
Kiss, Daniel, Modeling Post-Socialist Urbanization (Basel: Birkhäuser Verlag, 2018)

Recommended Readings:
Ring, Kristien, ed. Emerging identities - East! (Berlin: Deutsches Architektur Zentrum, 2005)
Forgács, Éva, The Bauhaus idea and Bauhaus politics (Budapest: Central European University Press, 1995)
Blau, Eve and Platzer, Monika, Shaping the great city: modern architecture in central Europe, 1890-1937 (Munich: Prestel, 1999)

11. Case Study VII. Barcelona, or the city as a Laboratory (Raul Avilla)

This seminar will introduce the city of Barcelona in two parts. First, through the history of urban development of the city, which allows a clear reading of different urban thoughts and strategies over centuries. Secondly and overlapping with the first one, discussing the “miraculous events” which allowed the implementation of large urban masterplans. The 1992 Olympic Games became the epitome of this strategy and a turning point for the city, which became a “model” to be exported that has been as much celebrated as criticized.

Primary Readings:
Solà-Morales i Rubió, Manuel, Ten lessons on Barcelona: Urbanistic Episodes that have made the Modern City. (Barcelona, COAC, 2008).

Recommended readings:
Rueda, Salvador, Barcelona, a Compact and Complex Mediterranean City. A more sustainable vision
LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE

**B1**  Ability to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.

**B2**  Ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.

**B4**  Demonstration of self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.

**D1**  Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources. When applicable, ability to work effectively within a group as leader or member and skill to manage conflict effectively.

**Assessment method**
Essay (3000-4000 words).

**Assessment Criteria**
- A critical knowledge and understanding of the principles and concepts introduced in the seminars.
- The rigour and originality in developing arguments and providing supportive evidence.
- The ability to demonstrate clear methodology and structure in the planning and execution of a research inquiry.
- The ability to clearly and persuasively present and debate arguments.
- The ability to reference sources of information using agreed conventions.
SYNOPSIS
Complementary to Seminar 2, the academic writing course is scheduled once a week during the term. On days when no seminars or group sessions take place, individual tutorials are available to discuss any writing in progress (also available to Year 2 students).

AIMS
To familiarise students with the writing of literature reviews, to assess current knowledge and to position one’s own writing.

OUTLINE THE CONTENT OF THE MODULE

Week 1: Multi-medium Writings
This seminar explores both forms of writings based on non-textual references, and the relation between different roles of texts – from foot-endnotes, appendixes, bibliography, captions and multi-medium forms of referencing.

Week 3: Forms of Presentations and Publications
This seminar examines how to draw on different and multiple references in order to structure and formulate writings as forms of presentations and publications.

Week 6: Submission 1 (Draft)
Edited literature review of critical texts from Seminar 2 (ca 2,000 words) is to be submitted in Week 6. This will be assessed as a ‘work in progress’ towards Writing Submission due on first Wednesday of the following term, expanded to ca 3,000 words, which will be formally marked.
The structure and writing of the literature review will be work-shopped in individual and group sessions throughout the term (prior and post submission).

Week 9: Introduction to the Thesis
This seminar examines the notion of an academic thesis and begins to discuss how to approach a longer form of academic writing and time managing the production of a thesis.
LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE OR COURSE

C2  Ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.
D1  Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources.

Assessment method
Literature review of source texts (ca 2,000 words).

Assessment Criteria
• Intelligence, structure, and clarity of the literature review.
• Ability to synthesise arguments between several texts.
• Compliance with academic referencing standards.

Various exemplary students’ writings at undergraduate, postgraduate and PhD levels will be introduced and discussed in sessions.

Recommended Readings (see Academic Writing term 1 section)
(a diverse range of approaches to writings which will be referenced across Writing seminars)
SYNOPSIS

The Thesis-Studio is a combined design studio and seminar course in which students develop their Dissertation Proposal and start the Dissertation. Underlying the Thesis-Studio is the hypothesis that critical and speculative projects on the city, whether practice and/or theory oriented, manifest an ‘idea of the city’ that can be understood through corresponding typological and social diagrams.

Some of these ideas and different historical, theoretical, and epistemological perspectives of the city will be discussed in seminars through critical projects of the recent past: exemplary proposals, representations, theories, and reflections of and on the city. The seminar examines how diverse readings of the city promulgate specific ideas and define aspects of the city that are formative and fundamental. Most of these readings share a medium-specificity and have a clear methodological approach through which a critical urban thesis is related to its processes of conceptualisation and representation. Often speculative—un-built or unbuildable—many critical urban projects have remained in the realm of speculation and imagination, but with an enduring effect on our (disciplinary) understanding and knowledge of the city. Representations, Investigations and Diagrams in that sense are speculative, projective and open-ended in their possibilities, but consistent in their construction.

AIMS

Familiarisation with the idea of the City and the relationships of spatial and social diagrams. Developing of a clear research inquiry and definition of the theoretical or physical context. Formulation of a Dissertation Proposal.

MODULE CONTENT

1. Object of Research

During the Thesis-Studio, students will finalise their research interest and confirm a theoretical and physical context in which this is situated. They develop their initial research enquiry into a proposal for the Dissertation. Students are asked to formulate a research problem with relevance to a larger disciplinary discourse, and research questions that are architecturally specific and examine a distinct urban problem. A clear relationship but also distinction must be established between the typological and urban research questions. The research questions defining the typological and urban problems must be further located within the larger discourse of the Architecture of Collective Living.
The collective living building type(s) and the city and urban plan(s) examined in Term 1 and 2 will constitute the specific site and context for the Dissertation Proposal and later the Dissertation, unless there are good arguments presented for changing this. Both building types and sites should not be simply defined as physical and material contexts but also be considered geographically, socio-politically, culturally, economically, and ecologically. This defines the limits of the research investigation.

The Dissertation Proposal is to formulate a coherent research thesis and enquiry that structures the intellectual and disciplinary research project, the research problem, but also creates a rigorous framework for design and research speculations at an architectural and urban scale, the research questions. This requires a problem definition, methodological clarity and coherence, the demarcation of a site and context (physical, historical, theoretical, and speculative), and the writing of a preliminary design and research brief (draft to be submitted end of Term 2). It further has to formulate speculative and operative idea(s) of the city through written and visual manifestoes that outline the object of research. The Dissertation Proposal will be judged on the ability to:

- Posit a clear and rigorous research problem that is original and contributes new disciplinary knowledge;
- define a specific typological design-research question that is both analytical and projective, while having relevance to the condition of the contemporary city;
- articulate an urban design-research question that is complementary to but also distinct from the typological question and challenges the scale of architecture.

The Dissertation Proposal should demonstrate that the proposed enquiry is within the student’s grasp, capabilities, and time schedule. In addition, students are expected to clarify how their research project relates and makes use of theoretical and design research by stating how they intend to synthesise their designed and written research in the Dissertation, to which equal weighting is given in the Thesis-Studio.

To start developing the Dissertation Proposal, start by formulating:

- a series of observations that are based on evidence (textual, material, visual, etc. sources);
- and a series of hypothesis or statements how the observations raise or relate to certain disputes that are linked to the area of interest that has been identified.

2. Design Proposal: Idea of the City
To clarify the object of research of the Dissertation Proposal and start the Dissertation, a number of questions and problems should be explored through writing and drawings:

- The relation of architecture’s disciplinary knowledge to the city and its discourse. 
  (What is the relevance of types and their transformation to the contemporary city? Clarification of the research problem.)
- Diagramming of typological transformations
  (Matrices that contextualise incremental or abrupt variation and transformation of types deriving from speculations on their deep structures. What structural and organisational elements of type are transformed?)
- Concluding typological transformation diagrams
  (Derived from the matrices of typal transformation. What are the criteria and objectives of transformation and speculation? Clarification of the typological research question.)
- The urban plan
(Programmatic and organisational analysis, but also socio-cultural, political, economic, ecologic etc studies of the city and its plans.)

- Concluding urban organisational and programmatic change diagrams
(How does the urban scale differs from and provides resistance to the typological approach? What are the urban conflicts and transformations in relation to those of architecture? Clarification of the urban research question.)

The above is as much an analysis of, as it is a speculation on, the formation of the city and its organisation, diagrams, and (re)presentation by providing well-argued observations and hypotheses of relationships between a fundamental type, its formative diagrams, and an urban plan. These idea(s) of the city are therefore a means to clarify the object of research through drawings and graphical manifestoes. They are further the basis to write a preliminary design brief and start the design work, which are essential parts of the dissertation framework.

Design briefs typically include:

- descriptions of the project background and context;
- a problem description with definition of constraints and needs, including guidelines on approach and methodology and planning criteria;
- and a statement of the project or research objectives with a list of deliverables and time schedules.

Based on the design brief, a first design proposal must be developed that elaborates the object of research through a series of design speculations. This explores another means to clarify the research problem and research questions.

**B. Seminar Descriptions:**
The seminar course is an integral part of the Thesis-Studio and explores research methodologies as well as ideas of the city from a historical, theoretical, and epistemological perspective, but also through the representations available to and defined by different media. The seminar discusses how diverse (ideological, technique-based, or representational) readings of the city in exemplary projects, ideas, representations, and writings, produce specific ideas that are either graphic-, design-, and process-oriented, or are expressed through alternative forms of representation (painting, writing, film, etc).

**1: Research Methodologies and Writing a Research Proposal**
This seminar provides an overview of research methodologies and methods, especially in architecture in preparation of students defining their own methodology for the dissertation.

2. Architecture Assembled: Exquisite Corpse (Workshop)

The tools of assemblage, originally developed by Dada, were also at the centre of the production of architectural images. The montage was not only the tool through which the new architecture was made reacting with the old city as a polemical device. The idea of assembling ready-made objects was also at the core of an idea of architecture made of prefabricated elements, making architecture an industry and no longer an art as it was taught in the beaux-arts academies. The seminar discusses the evolution of the concept and technique of assemblage in architecture through examples from Renaissance, to Post-digital architecture.

Craig Buckley, _Graphic Assembly: Montage, Media and Experimental Architecture in the 1960s_ (Minnesota: University of Minnesota Press, 2019)


Simon de Dreuille, ‘Les Nuits sans Kim Wilde,’ in _San Rocco_ 2, pp. 6–11.

3. Oblique Drawing

The lecture will present a close reading of Massimo Scolari’s book _Oblique Drawing_ on the history of anti-perspectival visual representation and parallel projection. Following Scolari but also expanding to the history of 20th century architectural avant-garde, the lecture will explore the way knowledge and especially technologies of representation obtain meaning from the social and political contexts that have been developed from and are embedded to. Apart from a history of optics and scientific or artistic development, what is presented here is a quick overview of ideological, cultural and cosmological perceptions and beliefs, caught always within diagrams of power relations and struggle.

Primary Readings:


Recommended Readings:


The seminar presents a selection of key texts, books, and projects that construct an alternative history of the last 50 years of architecture theory, practice and education. It consists of a “constructed genealogy” of how the problem of architecture and its relation to the city has been formalized by different scholars and practitioners.

Primary Readings:

Recommended Readings:
Branzi, Andrea, Non-stop City: Archizoom Associati / Andrea Branzi (Orleans: HYX, 2006).

5. Architecture Narrated: Writing, Drawing, and Making
The seminar investigates the roll of writing and scripting in architectural design. It evaluates narration techniques not only in form of representation and description of the projects but also as research and design tool. The seminar goes through examples from writers, artists and architects. It particularly reviews few famous projects by OMA, “Exodus”, “The story of the pool”, “The City of the Captive Glob”, and “The Egg of Columbus Centre”.


6. Radical Daily Practices (Cristina Gamboa)
The lecture will describe the relation between the development process of LaBorda, the first housing cooperative built in Barcelona, and its architectural definition. Once the property is at stake and the focus is on use, the requests for the architecture change. In the case of LaBorda, the housing need motivation was also challenged by the transition towards sustainability, in the broadest way possible: political, social, economic and environmental.
Primary Readings:

Recommended Readings:
Margrit Hugentobler, Andreas Hofer, Pia Simmendinger ‘More than housing: Cooperative Planning - a case study in Zurich ( Birkhäuser Verlag, 2015)

7, 8. TBC

LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE
A1 A systematic understanding of knowledge across architecture, urban design, and planning, and a critical awareness of current problems and/or insights at the forefront of related scholarship and professional practice.
A3 A comprehensive understanding of techniques and methodologies applicable to their own research and advanced scholarship (theory and practice-led design research).
B1 Ability to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.
B2 Ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.
B3 A conceptual understanding enabling the critical evaluation of current research, advanced scholarship, and methodologies, especially in the disciplines of architecture, urban design, and planning; and ability to develop critiques of them and, where appropriate, to propose new hypotheses.
B4 Demonstration of self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.
C2 Ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.
D1 Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources.
D2 Ability to critically reflect on own and others’ learning in order to improve their practice.
D3 Ability to competently and autonomously plan and undertake research.
D4 Ability to communicate research and conclusions clearly to specialist and non-specialist audiences
Assessment method
Dissertation Proposal consisting of integrated:
- Essay of 4,000 words defining object of research
- Outline design proposal defining object of research
- Research dossier (illustrations, drawings, and writing)

Assessment Criteria
- The depth of understanding disciplinary knowledge and design research questions.
- The rigour and originality in developing design brief and proposals, as well as theoretical arguments and providing supportive evidence.
- The ability to demonstrate clear methodology and structure in the planning and execution of a research inquiry.
- The ability to clearly and appropriately formulate research questions, hypotheses and arguments.
- The ability to synthesise written and design research.
- The ability to clearly and persuasively present and debate arguments.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit/Course Title</th>
<th>ACADEMIC WRITING 3</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>CA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level</td>
<td>FHEQ Level 7</td>
<td>Status</td>
<td>Compulsory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching staff</td>
<td>Doreen Bernath</td>
<td>Terms</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Credits</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pre-requisite</td>
<td>......</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-requisite</td>
<td>.....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-requisite</td>
<td>.....</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barred combinations</td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Learning methods</td>
<td>Seminars/tutorials/j</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-directed learning</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**SYNOPSIS**

Complementary to the Thesis-Studio, the writing workshop is scheduled once a week during the term. On days when no seminars or group sessions take place, individual tutorials are available to discuss any writing in progress (also available to Year 2 students).

**AIMS**
To familiarise students with the academic abstract writing for a research thesis.

**MODULE CONTENT**

**Week 1: The Abstract and the Introduction**
This seminar examines the role of the Abstract in defining and then formulating and producing the thesis.

**Week 2: From Short to Long-Form Writing**
This seminar examines the production of a thesis by means of shot, episodic forms of writing to the integration and stitching into a long-form of writing.

**Week 3: Thesis Structure**
This workshop discusses the initial structural layouts of the individual student theses.

**Week 6: Submission 1 (Draft)**
A first abstract and outline content of the Dissertation Proposal of ca 1,200 words is to be submitted in Week 6. Abstract and outline content will be work-shopped during the term prior and post submission.

**Week 7: Critical Relations and Formats: Textual and Visual Materials**
This seminar examines in detail how to explore different relationships between textual and visual materials, and both critical and creative graphical layouts to assist the writing of an academic thesis.

**Week 8: Revising the Abstract and the Introduction**
This workshop discusses the initial formulation of the theses abstracts and introductions and their potential revision.
**Week 9: Submission 2 (Marked)**
A longer abstract of the Dissertation Proposal of ca 1,200 words is to be presented and submitted in Week 9. Abstract will be work-shopped prior to submission.

**LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE**

**B2**  Ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.

**C2**  Ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.

**D1**  Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources.

**Assessment method**
Literature review of source texts (ca 2,000 words).

**Assessment Criteria**
- Intelligence, structure, and clarity of the literature review.
- Ability to synthesise arguments between several texts.
- Compliance with academic referencing standards.
**Unit/Course Title** | **Dissertation** | **Code** | **CA**  
--- | --- | --- | ---  
**Level** | FHEQ Level 7 | Status | Compulsory  
**Teaching staff** | Platon Issaias, Hamed Khosravi, guests and thesis advisors | Terms | 4, 5  
**Credits** | 144 |  
**Co-requisite** | ..... | Pre-requisite | .....  
**Barred combinations** | None |  
**Learning methods** | tutorials/juries |  
| Self-directed learning |  

**SYNOPSIS**

The Dissertation has to demonstrate proficiency and rigour in research, design methods, and techniques, as well as knowledge of the subject context, literature, and precedents. The Dissertation is the final and most substantial piece of work in the programme that is started at the end of Year 1 and developed throughout Year 2.

While students conduct their independent research under the close guidance of their supervisor(s), they have access to other programme staff and specialist consultants as needed. The supervisor(s) role is to aid developing ideas and encourage critical and independent thinking.

**AIMS**

The Dissertation is the demonstration of a significant and comprehensive piece of independent research, including its planning and execution. The Dissertation consists of the development of a critical theoretical argument and a series of comprehensive design proposals.

**MODULE CONTENT**

**A. The Projective Cities Framework**

The research enquiry and object of research of the Dissertation is to be concurrently developed through writing and design. Theory-driven and practice-driven researches are complementary and define different aspects of knowledge production and disciplinary discourses. Hereby both the theoretical and design research should be considered within a general and specific context, and discuss the histories, theories, instruments, and practices underlying the dissertation project. The methodological emphasis on intersections of design theory and practice is reflected in the assessment of the Dissertation as one coherent piece of work. The Dissertation must include a comprehensive design proposal based on a clearly defined design methodology, and an integrated theoretical proposition based on a clearly defined research method. This requires students to reason and define the overlaps and limits of writing and design in their Dissertation. Part of the dissertation challenge is therefore to clarify how a written dissertation can effectively utilise design methodologies and outcomes, and how a design proposal benefits from and is enriched by written research. Writing should not just become a description of the design work and Dissertations should carefully consider how the final submission is structured and presented.
The Dissertation must demonstrate a clear research problem that is of disciplinary relevance and contributes to knowledge. Based on it, the Dissertation will articulate a specific research agenda dealing with the relationships between architecture and the city. This should be formulated as two research questions and research hypotheses, one that advances a clear urban question and another that posits a related typological question.

B. Research and Design
Working concurrently on design and research, activities during the dissertation Year 1 include:
• Collecting supporting sources and information;
• reviewing literature;
• analysing case studies;
• synthesising the research agenda;
• studying and analysing site and context;
• studying the theories, practices, and instruments of design and production relevant to the research enquiry;
• designing and research development.

The Dissertation is documented, written, and refined throughout Year 2 and will be presented during regular supervision tutorials and reviews.

1. Review of Dissertation Proposal (2 Weeks)
The research problem and research questions defined by the Dissertation Proposal should be reviewed at the beginning of Year 2. In preparation of this, students over the summer break between Year 1 and 2 are asked to produce about 10,000 words, equivalent to 2-3 essays, based on the proposed research object and content.

The Dissertation Proposal needs to be analysed, interpreted, developed, and synthesised in order to update the design and research brief. This brief defines the dissertation framework and research agenda. Strategic design decisions and research arguments will be made within and judged against this framework. Thus it should clearly restate hypotheses and objectives of the research project.

2. Emphasis Design-Research (14 Weeks)
Once the Dissertation Proposal has been revisited and the dissertation agenda clarified by the design and research brief, the main work on the Dissertation starts. The Dissertation is to demonstrate an evident process of analysing, interpreting, and generating meaningful types and urban plans. This should be done by studying relevant types, urban plans, and typological transformations or conflicts, and by researching a specific context defined by an idea of the city. Deriving from the design and research brief, the Dissertation is thus to consider questions of typal reasoning, typological design, and architectural urbanism as discussed and prepared for in Year 1:
• the effects and/or conflicts of typal transformation;
• the generative potential of typological differentiation, evolution, and invention;
• the organisational and/or structural levels/elements of architecture;
• the effects and/or conflicts of architecture at different scales. In particular, the relation of architecture to the scale and formation of the city;
• the specificity of context and design;
• the idea of the city.
The above needs to be developed into a comprehensive and detailed design proposal and at the beginning of Term 5, a Final Design Review will take place. Students are expected to have completed all their primary design research at this point.

3. Emphasis Theoretical-Research (12 Weeks)

All Dissertations should address how typal reasoning and typological design contributes to the conceptualisation and realisation of the contemporary city—and ultimately to disciplinary discourses and knowledge. The Dissertation serves as a projective proposition and its speculations should be re-assessed in terms of its potentials and limits at the end of the research project.

Following the Final Design Review, the research so far completed needs to be evaluated, analysed, and developed, including speculations on the possible enactment, regulation, and administration of the proposal/research at the various scales from the building to the city. This is another opportunity to clarify the relations between theoretical or design research.

Design proposals should not be understood as conventional final master plans but as evidence to support the arguments of the larger thesis and design research exploration. The results of the primary design-research should be considered as typological guidelines, opening up a discursive debate on the role of design and urban plans to the discipline and knowledge of architecture and urbanism. Typological guidelines define a framework of spatial and material organisation, policy-making, and implementation, rather than a literal design or proposal.

Finally, the research conclusions should reiterate how the research problem and the research questions at an urban and typological scale have been defined and developed throughout all research and what their projective outcome and potential are for architecture, urban design, and planning. Therefore, the dissertation should include a written section that critically reflects on the typological aspects, arguments, transformations, and discourses, as well as the agency of designing and drawing to clearly summarise the value of typological analysis to the research. This should be discussed at different architectural and urban scales and relate directly to the design work. The conclusion should also provide a clarification of the contribution to knowledge that the dissertation makes in terms of theory, typological discourse, and design research practice.

C. Written Content of Dissertation

Although students are asked to rethink conventional formatting, the following contents should be included (please also refer to contents section for the Dissertation Proposal):

- **Abstract**: Summary of the dissertation aims and key findings (ca. 600 words).
- **Table of contents**: A numbered list of the main headings and subheadings of the paper and the page number of the start of each section.
- **Acknowledgements**: Individuals who have helped or provided resources, advice and information (including acknowledgment of sponsorships, bursaries or scholarships towards your studies).
- **Preface**: Polemic statement, images, and context.
- **Introduction**: The issues, problems and questions which led you to the chosen topic with reference to the relevant literature and projects; what is the dissertation setting out to do and what methodology is used to explore this; identify and characterise problems with which
you will engage in the dissertation; the research questions and hypotheses; summary of conclusions; how is the dissertation structured and how is the contents organised and presented.

- **Main body**: Subdivided into chapters as needed.
- **Conclusions**: How can the research be contextualised at different scales and generalised? What are the findings in regards to typological and social diagrams? What is the original contribution of your designed-and-written Dissertation to the field and knowledge of architecture and urban design?
- **Bibliography**: published and unpublished sources consulted including internet sources.
- **Appendices (if any)**: Complementary information, illustration or data.

**LEARNING OUTCOMES OF THE MODULE OR COURSE**

**A1** A systematic understanding of knowledge across architecture, urban design, and planning, and a critical awareness of current problems and/or insights at the forefront of related scholarship and professional practice.

**A2** Awareness of and ability to manage the implications of ethical issues.

**A3** A comprehensive understanding of techniques and methodologies applicable to their own research and advanced scholarship (theory and practice-led design research).

**B1** Ability to analyse complex issues both systematically and creatively, making sound judgements in the absence of complete data or in the context of incomplete or contradictory areas of knowledge.

**B2** Ability to apply knowledge in an original manner, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline.

**B3** A conceptual understanding enabling the critical evaluation of current research, advanced scholarship, and methodologies, especially in the disciplines of architecture, urban design, and planning; and ability to develop critiques of them and, where appropriate, to propose new hypotheses.

**B4** Demonstration of self-direction and originality in tackling and solving problems.

**C1** Ability of decision-making in complex and unpredictable situations, exercising initiative and personal responsibility with an awareness of good practice.

**C2** Ability to develop new technical skills to a high level.

**D1** Ability of independent learning required for continuing professional development, using full range of learning resources.

**D2** Ability to critically reflect on own and others’ learning in order to improve their practice.

**D3** Ability to competently and autonomously plan and undertake research.

**D4** Ability to communicate research and conclusions clearly to specialist and non-specialist audiences.
Assessment method
Dissertation (to include a comprehensive design proposal and integrated written research of 15,000 words)

Assessment Criteria

• The depth of understanding disciplinary knowledge and design research questions.
• The rigour and originality in developing theoretical arguments and providing supportive evidence.
• The rigour and originality in developing design brief and proposals.
• The ability to demonstrate clear methodology and structure in the planning and execution of a research inquiry.
• The ability to clearly and appropriately formulate research questions, hypotheses, arguments, and conclusions.
• The ability to synthesise written and design research.
• The ability to clearly and persuasively present and debate arguments.
Assessment

The primary assessment of knowledge and understanding is through submitted coursework, but also through a combination of workshop exercises and seminar presentations. All assessment methods (essays, design reports, seminar papers, and the Dissertation) place great emphasis on a student’s ability to demonstrate research skills, critical and conceptual understanding, originality, and methodological rigour.

Effective development and communication of analysis, design concepts, and research speculations and findings are important criteria in all areas of a student’s work and continuously assessed at all stages. Time management, organisation, and skills to work individually or with others are generally reflected in the quality of submitted coursework. Assessed work is submitted to the Graduate School Coordinator at agreed dates and times.

All coursework is marked by two internal assessors. Their marks are averaged to establish a moderated mark for each graded submission. Where the result of the assessment calculation creates a mark of 0.5% or greater, this will be rounded up to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.5% is rounded to 70). Where the calculation creates a mark below 0.5% this will be rounded down to the next full percentage point (e.g. 69.4% is rounded to 69%). For the purposes of rounding up or down, only the first decimal place is used. Written reports and grades are given to the students by the Graduate School Office, and further informal feedback is given during tutorials.

The Examination Board makes the final decision on submitted work. The Examination Board’s decisions concerning the award of degrees are final. The board includes the course’s staff and appointed External Examiner(s). The Examination Board’s decisions are reported to the Academic Committee (AC). The AC then reports the results to the OU and request the OU to award the degree. Students are notified of the results by the Registrar’s Office (Graduate School Coordinator).

Assessment Criteria and Grading

The assessment of submitted work is based on the following overall assessment criteria in addition to specific ones given for each module. The degree MPhil in Architecture and Urban Design is awarded to students who have demonstrated:

- A systematic understanding of knowledge, and a critical awareness of current problems and insights at, or informed by, the forefront of the architectural and urban design disciplines and their practices.
- A comprehensive understanding of techniques applicable to their own research or advanced scholarship.
- Originality in the application of knowledge, together with a practical understanding of how established techniques of research and enquiry are used to create and interpret knowledge in the discipline; how the boundaries of knowledge are advanced through research.
- Conceptual understanding that enables them:
  - to evaluate critically current research and advanced scholarship in the discipline; and
  - to evaluate methodologies and develop critiques of them and to propose new hypotheses.
The coursework is marked numerically on a percentage scale. The grades are given on the basis of the assessment criteria above and the relevant syllabus for each module.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mark</th>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Performance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70% or above</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Distinction Outstanding work with only marginal mistakes or shortcomings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65–69%</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>High Pass Some mistakes or shortcomings of the work, but overall still very good.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–64%</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Good Pass Above average work with some mistakes or shortcomings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57–59%</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>Satisfactory Pass Sound work, but with some basic mistakes or shortcomings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54–56%</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>Adequate Pass An average piece of work, clearly showing some deficiencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–53%</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>Low Pass The work fulfils the minimum criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49% or below</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fail The work fulfils the minimum criteria.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To qualify for the degree MPhil in Architecture and Urban Design, students must attain the 50% threshold mark on both the course work average and the Dissertation. The overall final mark is calculated as the weighted average of all submitted work. All grades attained by students are kept on records in the AA School’s database, and are available for transcripts, but do not appear on the certificates.

Students who fail to attain an overall mark of 50% for course work will be allowed to resubmit only once. Passing of all course work in Year 1 is a condition to proceed to Year 2. Failed Dissertations can only be resubmitted to the Examination Board of the following academic year. All resubmissions will be subjected to grade capping at 50%. Failure to pass any resubmission will lead to immediate disqualification from the degree.

In cases where there are no accepted mitigating circumstances and where coursework is submitted late, marks will be deducted. Any element of assessed work submitted up to seven days after the deadline will be marked and 10 marks (on a scale of 100) will be deducted for that element, for each calendar day of lateness incurred. Any piece of work submitted 7 or more days after the deadline will not be assessed and assigned a mark of 0, unless the student submits personal circumstances, and these are accepted.

The Master of Philosophy (MPhil) in Architecture and Urban Design is awarded ‘with Distinction’ when the overall final mark (i.e. the combined weighted average of course work and Dissertation) is 70% or higher. Exceptionally, an Examination Board can award distinction to a student with an average below 70% to a maximum of 2%, as long as the Dissertation is 70% or above.

Extenuating Circumstances
A student who is unable to attend or complete a formal assessment component or who feels that their performance would be seriously impaired by extenuating circumstances may submit a deferral request. For further details refer to the current Academic Regulations.
**Attendance**
Students are required to attend all pre-arranged classes, seminars, lectures, tutorials, and presentations. When a student expects to miss a pre-arranged event, they must inform the relevant academic member of staff and Administrative Co-ordinator as soon as possible, providing full explanation for the anticipated absence supported by certified medical or equivalent documentation. Failure to attend at least 80% of the activities of a module without mitigating circumstances will result in a student failing the module and in repeated cases the programme.

**Academic Misconduct**
Academic misconduct is defined as improper activity or behaviour by a student, which may give that student, or another student, an unpermitted academic advantage in a summative assessment. The most serious examples of misconduct are plagiarism and student substitution.

Plagiarism, which consists of the action or practice of taking someone else’s work, idea, etc., and passing it off as one’s own, also known as literary theft will be penalised. If plagiarism occurs unknowingly, students will be asked to resubmit the work. In cases where plagiarism is intended to deceive, penalties include: removal from the School without right of resubmission; suspension from registration at the School or in particular courses for such period as it thinks fit; denial of credit or partial credit in any module; and an official letter of warning (see AA School Academic Regulations).

Plagiarism is usually avoided by citing the sources, but includes:
- Submitting someone else’s work as your own;
- copying and using words or ideas from someone else without giving credit;
- failing to put a quotation in quotation marks;
- giving incorrect information about the source of a quotation;
- changing words but copying the sentence structure of a source without giving credit;
- copying so many words or ideas from a source that it makes up the majority of your work, whether a credit has been given or not.

See Appendix 2 for recommended referencing or use www.citethemrightonline.com, available online through the AA. Essays and the Dissertation are subject to submission to Turnitin, an internet-based service to check for unoriginal content.

**Appeals and Complaints**
The formal procedure for appealing a decision and for registering a complaint is laid out in the current version of AA’s Academic Regulations. Any complaints that cannot be dealt with informally by the programme staff must be lodged with the Registrar.

Students may appeal against the result of an assessment or submission on one of the following grounds:
- that there were special circumstances affecting the student’s performance such as illness or close family bereavement;
- that there is evidence of procedural irregularity in the conduct of the examination; or
- that there is evidence of unfair or improper assessment on the part of one or more of the examiners.
A complaint is an expression of dissatisfaction with a service provided or the lack of a service for which the AA School is responsible, and which impacts directly and substantively on the student’s programme of study. It must relate to services that students were led to believe would be provided by the AA School.
Reading Lists

ESSENTIAL PROGRAMME READING
(available from Projective Cities programme shelf, AA Library)


MPHIL IN ARCHITECTURE AND URBAN DESIGN (PROJECTIVE CITIES)


**RECOMMENDED FURTHER READING**


Lazzarato, Maurizio, *Signs and Machines: Capitalism and the Production of Subjectivity* (Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e), 2014).
Lazzarato, Maurizio, *Governing by Debt* (Los Angeles, CA: Semiotext(e), 2014).
DESIGN METHOD, (PRECEDENT) MODELS, AND DIAGRAMS


URBAN DESIGN, URBANISM, AND PLANNING


Frampton, Kenneth, Megaform as Urban Landscape (Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, 1999).


Hertweck, Florian and Sébastien Marot, eds., The City in the City - Berlin: A Green Archipelago by Oswald Mathias Ungers et al (Zurich, Lars Müller, 2013).

Howard, Ebenezer, Garden Cities of To-morrow (London: Sonnenschein, 1902).


Krier, Leon, Architecture: Choice or Fate (Windsor: Andreas Papadakis Publishers, 1998).


Appendices

APPENDIX 1: COURSEWORK FORMATTING GUIDELINES

Coursework is submitted to the Graduate School Administrative Coordinator’s Office at the time and on the day agreed. Essays must have a minimum A4 and studio reports a minimum A3 paper size. All submissions must include:

- 2 securely bound hard copies of work
- 1 signed Authorship Declaration Form
- 1 digital copy uploaded online and sent to relevant tutors
- a digital folder with all visual material, individually named and edited

All submissions must comply with academic referencing conventions, see Appendix 2. All texts must be spell-checked. Written submissions are to be uploaded to Turnitin.

1. Submission Cover Page

All submissions must have a cover page that follows the exact wording and order:

**FULL TITLE: SUBTITLE** [e.g. HOUSING IN LONDON: ROW HOUSING]

**Student Name** [first and family name(s)]

**MPhil in Architecture and Urban Design Projective Cities, 2018/19** [years of cohort]

**Architectural Association School of Architecture Graduate School**

**Module Name** [e.g. Dissertation; or Seminar 1 Essay]

**Submission date in month and year** [e.g. January 2020]

2. Authorship Declaration Form

All submissions must include an Authorship Declaration Form signed by all contributing students to certify that the contents of the document are their own work and the use of material from the work of others is duly acknowledged. The form should be bound into the submission after the cover page. The form is available from the Graduate School Coordinator.

3. Formatting of Written Submissions

All essays or written submission should comply with the following structure, depending on their length, but must include the bold items:

- **Cover page**: See above.
- **Abstract**: A very brief summary of the paper.
- **Table of contents**: A numbered list of the main headings and subheadings of the paper and the page number of the start of each section.
- **Acknowledgements**: Individuals who have helped or provided resources, advice and information (including acknowledgment of sponsorships, bursaries or scholarships towards your studies at the AA School). Only in dissertation or if required by sponsor.
- **Introduction**: Overview of issues and questions which led to the chose topic with reference to the relevant literature; what did your paper set out to do and what is your methodology to explore this; results obtained or conclusions drawn; how is your paper structured.
- **Main body**: To be subdivided according to thematic, procedural or methodological criteria. To include relevant illustrations and drawings.
- **Conclusions**: Summary of main argument, findings and conclusions.
- **Bibliography**: Published and unpublished sources consulted.
The word count for written submissions excludes abstract, footnotes, bibliographies, appendices, etc, and should be provided at the end of a submission. The total length should be within 10% of the permitted word count.

Text is to be formatted as follows, or in an equivalent style:

- Body text in Arial, font size 11, or in a similar legible font and size
- Line spacing to be at least 1.15
- Footnotes instead of endnotes
- All figures and tables must be numbered, titled, and referenced
- Pages to be numbered
- No text hyphenation
- Margin sizes are at the student’s discretion but must allow for binding

4. Binding of Submissions and Paper

Essays, Studio Reports: At least metal spiral bound (or e.g. perfect bound with soft cover).
Dissertation Proposal: 1 copy perfect bound with soft cover, 1 copy securely bound.
Dissertation: 1 copy hard bound (cloth with black or white text, or equivalent style).

1 copy securely bound (soft or hard bound but not spiral bound).

All Dissertations are to be printed on matt 200gsm fine-grained cartridge paper or matt photo-quality paper, or equivalent quality paper.

Online print shops we have used in the past:
- Inky Little Fingers, http://www.inkylittlefingers.co.uk/

Recommended bookbinders (hard binding):
- The Wyvern Bindery
  56-58, Clerkenwell Road, London EC1M 5PX (http://www.wyvernbindery.com/)
- City Binders
  1st Floor, 39 Ludgate Hill, London, EC4M 7JN (http://www.citybinders.co.uk/)
- Bookbinders of London
  11 Ronalds Road, London N5 1XJ (http://www.bookbindersoflondon.com/)

5. Formatting of Digital Copy

A digital copy will be submitted. All students are required to upload online and sent to relevant tutors the following items:

- A complete copy of the submitted document in PDF format with lines retained as vectors and pages retained as pages, not spreads.
- In addition, all illustrations must be included individually in a folder titled <Images> in JPG format at 300 dpi resolution in their original (largest) size. Images should be numbered and titled in accordance with the list of figures or image credits given in the submitted document. This material will be submitted at the end of each term and will be included in the programme’s online drive.
APPENDIX 2: MHRA REFERENCING STYLE

All referencing is to acknowledge someone else’s work or ideas and is done to avoid plagiarism. The preferred conventions are set out by the Modern Humanities Research Association (MHRA), but other reference systems are permitted, as long as they are followed consistently. An online site available at the AA to create references is www.citethemrightonline.com. An MHRA guide on referencing can be downloaded at www.style.mhra.org.uk.

The following text and examples of the MHRA referencing style are taken from the Cardiff University’s Information Services¹:

For all academic assignments, it is vital that you acknowledge the sources of information you have used for your research. This will help you protect yourself against charges of plagiarism and also demonstrate that you understand the importance of professional academic work.

You must acknowledge your sources whenever you paraphrase or summarise another person’s ideas, or when you quote another person’s work, or use tables, graphs, images, etc. which you have found from another source, whether printed or online.

Introducing the MHRA Style

Whenever you refer to another’s words or ideas in your work, insert a footnote number in your text. When referring to the publication for the first time, give full bibliographic details in the footnote. Subsequent references can then be provided in an abbreviated form.

Example

References should be given for ‘all direct or indirect quotations, and in acknowledgement of someone’s opinions, or of a source of factual information which is not general knowledge’.¹ Li and Crane point out that the main objective of citing references is to give sufficient information to allow sources to be located.² Additionally, ‘another important principle is to make reference to that information in the source in hand. As a rule, it is not necessary to provide supplementary information that has to be located elsewhere’.³ General overviews of the process of citing references are given by Bosworth and Craig and in Walliman.⁴

³ Ibid., p. 3.
⁴ David P. Bosworth, Citing Your References: A Guide for Authors of Journal Articles And Students Writing Theses or Dissertations (Thirsk, N Yorks: Underhill Press, 1992); P. Craig, ‘How to Cite’, Documentation Studies, 10 (2003), 114-122; Walliman, pp. 300-313.

¹ <www.cardiff.ac.uk/insrv/resources/guides/but028.pdf> [accessed 29.08.2014]
Inserting Footnotes
Wherever possible, place numbers at the end of the sentence, after the full stop. Be consistent in your approach and use continuous numbering throughout the text, starting at number one. For theses, restart the numbering at the beginning of each chapter. When you refer to several sources close together in the same paragraph, use one footnote number and enter a reference for each source, separated by a semi-colon.

Directly Quoting from Your Sources
You should aim to paraphrase information provided by an author in your own words rather than quote large amounts of their work verbatim as this helps to demonstrate to the reader your understanding of the information. It may be necessary to quote directly from the text when you:

• cannot present the information more succinctly or in any other way
• need to present a particular portion of an author’s text in your work to analyse it.

If the quotation is short (fewer than 40 words of prose or 2 complete lines of verse), enclose the writer’s words in single quotation marks within your sentence and insert a footnote number:

Mackintosh’s Glasgow School of Art ‘heralded the birth of a new style in 20th century European Architecture’.¹

Longer quotations should be separated from the body of your text and indented from the left-hand margin. There is no need to include quotation marks:

Bernard outlines his design ethos:

Mackintosh’s firm belief that construction should be decorated and not decoration constructed, in other words that the salient and most requisite features should be selected for ornamentation, he applied with great rhythm and inventiveness, especially in those projects, such as the Glasgow School of Art and Scotland Street School, where budgets were severely limited.²

This theme is taken further by Macleod.³

If you omit some words from the middle of the quotation, you need to indicate this by typing three dots in square brackets, e.g. ‘The state has an essential role [... in the legal definition of property rights’.⁴ If you are omitting lines of verse, write [...] on a separate line.

Referencing Sources for the First Time
When referencing a source for the first time in your piece of work, provide full bibliographic details in the footnote:

• Write the author’s name(s) as it appears on the text: put the author’s forename(s) or initials first, followed by their surname. If there are more than three authors, write the first author’s name followed by ‘and others.’
• Italicise the titles of books and journals.
• Capitalise the first letter of all principal words throughout the title and after the colon, if there is a subtitle.
• Include the specific page number(s) referenced at the end by writing p. or pp. followed by the page number(s).
• Write references for online publications using the format for printed publications as far as possible, adding the <internet address of the document> and the [accessed date].
• Indent the second and subsequent line of each reference.

Book

Journal article

Chapter in an edited book

Newspaper article

PhD Thesis

Electronic journal article

Web page

Images, figures and tables
Fig. 1. List of housing performance indicators for multi-family residential buildings.1

Further References to the Same Source
If you reference the same source more than once in a particular piece of work, abbreviate the second and subsequent references by providing only the author and page numbers. Use the abbreviation Ibid. (meaning in the same place) to refer to a reference immediately above:
2 Ibid, p. 133.
4 Curtis, pp. 56-78.

Bibliography
At the end of your work, list each of the sources you have referenced, and any other works you have read in relation to the subject, in a bibliography. Write the list in alphabetical order by the first author’s surname, placing their surname before their forename(s) or initial(s). There is no need to include the specific page reference in a bibliography, but page ranges for edited book chapters and journal articles are required. You should also exclude the full stop at the end of the reference:

Craig, P., ‘How to Cite’, Documentation Studies, 10 (2003), 114-122
Stott, Rebecca, Anna Snaith and Rick Rylance, Making Your Case: A Practical Guide to Essay Writing (Harlow: Longman, 2001)

Publication Dates and Editions
To find out when a book was published, look at the back of the title page. This page will contain details of the publisher and the publication date. If there is more than one date, use the latest publication date, not the latest reprint dates. This is often located next to the © symbol.

If no publication date is given in the book but it can be ascertained, put the year in square brackets e.g. [1989]. If no year can be determined write [n.d.], meaning no date.

The back of the title page will also tell you the edition of the book. If the book you are acknowledging is not the first edition, state this in the full reference in your footnote and bibliography e.g.: Alan Everett, Materials, 5th edn (Harlow: Longman, 1994), pp. 102-24.
APPENDIX 3: ACADEMIC CALENDAR 2019-20

YEAR 1 SCHEDULE

INTRODUCTION WEEK (new students only)
16 to 20 September 2019

TERM 1
Monday 23 September to Friday 13 December 2019 (12 weeks)
End Term Review = Friday 6 December
Studio Submission = Wednesday 11 December (by 1pm)
Seminar/Academic Writing Submission = Wednesday 8 January 2020 (by 1pm)

TERM 2
Monday 6 January to Friday 20 March 2020 (11 Weeks)
End Term Review = Friday 13 March
Studio Submission = Wednesday 18 March (by 1pm)
Seminar/Academic Writing Submission = Wednesday 22 April (by 1pm)

TERM 3
Monday 20 April to Friday 19 June 2020 (9 Weeks)
End Term Review = Friday 12 June
Academic Writing Submission = Tuesday, 16 June (by 1pm)
Dissertation Proposal Submission = Wednesday 1 July (by 1pm)

Resubmission Date for Year 1: Wednesday 12 August 2020

YEAR 2 SCHEDULE

TERM 4
Monday 23 September to Friday 13 December 2020 (12 weeks)
Progress Review = Monday 9 December

TERM 5
Monday 6 January to Friday 20 March 2020 (11 Weeks)
and 20 April to 24 May 2018 (5 Weeks)
Final Design Review = January 31 February
Progress Review = Monday 16 March
Final Presentation = Friday 15 May
Dissertation Submission = Friday 22 May (by 1pm)
[External Examination = Friday 26 June (tbc)]
AA Exhibition Opening = Thursday 18 June (Press and Practice/Summer Garden Party)
Friday 19 June (Graduation Awards Ceremony/Projects Review Opening)
AA ACADEMIC TERMS

AA Term 1: Monday 23 September to Friday 13 December 2019 (12 weeks)
[School closed: Saturday 14 December to Sunday 5 January inclusive]
AA Term 2: Monday 6 January to Friday 20 March 2020 (11 Weeks)
[School closed: Saturday 28 March to Tuesday 14 April inclusive; Good Friday: 10 April, Easter Monday: 13 April, Re-opens Wednesday 15 April]
AA Term 3: Monday 20 April to Friday 19 June 2020 (9 Weeks)
[School closed: Saturday 22 to Monday 31 August inclusive; Bank Holidays: Fruday 8 May, 25 May and 31 August]